Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/579,381	CLOUTIER ET A	٦L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK	2624		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Michael Krawzsenek</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 4 November 2010	Time: <u>1:30 pm</u>			
Type of Interview:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:  NA				
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 9, 22, and 27				
Prior art documents discussed: NA				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
/A. O. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that the limitations present in claim 3, in conjunction with limitations of the independent claims, distinguish over the prior art. Therefore, Applicant agreed to amend independent claims 1 and 22 to incorporate the limitations present in claim 3 and cancel claim 3. As such, all claims that depended directly from claim 3 were amended to depend from independent claim 1. The dependency of claims 9 and 27 was agreed to be changed in order to correct insufficient antecedent basis problems under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. Non-elected claims 30-33 were agreed to be canceled.